tography. The crystalline material was dissolved in a small amount of hot chloroform and adsorbed on a small amount of silica gel, after which the solvent was evaporated (air stream). The silica gel was then added to the top of a 20-cm column of dry silica gel and covered with an additional **3** cm of fresh dry silica gel. The column was packed tightly with glass wool and inverted into a **250** ml graduate cylinder containing chloroform. After **2** hr the solvent level had reached the top of the inverted column. The column was removed and again inverted, and two fractions (already partially separated) were eluted with further addition of solvent. This procedure allowed efficient separation of two materials with very similar retention times. The first fraction from this separation was identified **as** unreacted 1. The second fraction gave yellow crystals of the **imino** ethyl ether **of 2-nitro-3,4,5,6-tetramethylacetanilide** (3): mp 79.5-81.0°; ir (CCl<sub>4</sub>) 1660  $(*v*<sub>C-N</sub>)$  and 1520 and 1380 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(*v*<sub>NO2</sub>)$ ; uv  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeoH}}$ **208**  $m\mu$  (log  $\epsilon$  4.42); nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\tau$  8.68 (t, 3 H,  $J = 7$  Hz), 8.27, **8.00,** and **7.86** *(s,* **3** 13 each), **7.76 (6** H, br s), and **5.85 (9, 2 H,**   $J = 7$  Hz).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 63.62; H, 7.63; N, 10.60. Found: C, **63.46;** H, **7.53;** N, **10.57.** 

The yields were not determined precisely, but for purified, sublimed material were about **25%** for **2** and **5%** for **3.** Initial yields before purification were probably appreciably higher, but 8ome tars were produced.

Irradiation of **1** in ethanol gave a fair yield of 2 but no **3.** 

Diaminoprehnitene. From 1.—Catalytic hydrogenation of a solution of **0.2** g **(0.894** mmol) of **1** in **10** ml of absolute ethanol over **5%** Pd/C catalyst **(25** mg) at **20** psig and room temperature for **2.5** hr afforded, after filtration and evaporation of the solvent, an essentially quantitative yield of diaminoprehnitene **as** white crystals: mp **142-144"** (lit.\* mp **144")** ; nmr (CCl,) *7* **7.86** and **7.92 (s, 6** H each) and **6.83** (br, **4** H). The compound darkened on standing in air.

From 2.-Hydrogenation of **2 as** described for 1 gave the same product (melting point, mixture melting point, and nmr).

**Registry No.--1,** 18801-63-3; **2,** 18801-64-4; **3,**  18801-65-5.

## **The Reaction of SF5Br with Fluoro Olefins**

J. **STEWAFLD,~** L. **KEGLEY,** H. F. **WHITE,\* AND** *G.* **L. GARD~** 

*Department* **of** *Chemistry, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon* **97207** 

*Izeceived August* **7,** *1968* 

 $SF<sub>5</sub>Cl$  will add to fluoro olefins<sup>3</sup> at elevated temperatures and in the presence **of** free radicals to produce **2-chloropolyfluoroalkylsulfur** pentafluorides.

Also,  $S_2F_{10}$  will react with olefins and fluoro olefins under pressure and elevated temperatures to give small amounts of  $SF_5$  addition products.<sup>4,5</sup>

No report has appeared in the literature dealing with the  $SF_{6}Br$  addition to fluoro olefins.<sup>6</sup>

We have found that  $SF<sub>5</sub>Br$ , produced from the reacwe have found that  $ST_5DT$ , produced from the reaction of  $S_2F_{10}$  and  $Br_2$  at elevated temperatures (eq 1), We have found that  $SF_5Br$ , produced from the reaction of  $S_2F_{10}$  and  $Br_2$  at elevated temperatures (eq 1),<br> $S_2F_{10} + Br_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} 2SF_5Br$  (1)

$$
S_2F_{10} + Br_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} 2SF_6Br \tag{1}
$$

**(1) Taken from a** M. **S. thesis to be submitted** to **PortlandStateUniversity.** 

**(2) To whom inquiries should be addressed.** 

**(3)** J. **R. Case,** N. **H. R,ay, and H. L. Roberts,** *J. Chem. Soc.,* **2070 (1961).** 

**(4) M. Tremblay,** *Can. J.* **Chern., 48, 219 (1965).**  *(5)* **H. L. Roberts,** *J.* **C,'lem.** *Soo.,* **3183 (1962).** 

(6) C. Merrill found that SF<sub><sup>B</sup></sub>Br added to ethylene giving SF<sub><sup>8</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Br.</sub></sup> See C. Merrill, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, Wash., 1962.

 $S_2F_{10}$  transferred Thus, replacing the hydrogens  $12.41$  $\begin{array}{c} 11.01 \\ 10.33 \\ 9.53 \end{array}$ .<br>D  $11.94$  $\bar{c}$ However, we have found that SF<sub>c</sub>, SF<sub>4</sub>, and ρé 25.29 Found, <sup>9</sup><br>Br  $1.3880$ <br> $0.3880$  $\blacksquare$ over with the SF<sub>b</sub>Br. Therefore, the actual yields are higher than the ones given here. Note: The boiling point of SF<sub>b</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Br is 109.5° at 760 mm of Hg.<br>with fluorines in SF<sub>b</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Br causes the boiling point  $\frac{9.15}{8.25}$  $9.88$  $\circ$ 11.10  $\frac{12.67}{11.83}$  $9.91$ ω 10.96  $0.00000$  $0.00$ <sup>b</sup> The yield was based on the assumption that only SF<sub>5</sub>Br was added. 2-BROMOPOLYFLUOROALKYLSULFUR PENTAFLUORIDES ρ,  $31.58$ <br> $29.49$ <br> $27.55$ <br> $24.71$ Caled,  $3,7,3,0$ <br> $-0,0,0$ <br> $-0,0,0$ 98539<br>08859  $\circ$  $\mathscr{E}_{\mathcal{O}}$ Tield,<sup>b</sup> **2232** Found 5688 Ë g ន<br>**និ**ត្ត និនី Caled  $^a$  Boiling points were recorded at 766  $\pm$  1 mm of Hg. 99.5-100.5 Bp,ª °C  $36 - 87$ <br>  $74 - 75$ <br>  $36 - 95$ Compd  $SF$ <sub>b</sub> $CH_2CHFBr$  $SF$ <sub>5</sub> $CHFCF_2Br$  $\rm SF_{\it s}CH_{\it t}CF_{\it s}Br$  $SF_3C_2F_3C1Br$ 

Тавья І

reacted at room temperature in a Pyrex glass vessel with  $\text{CH}_2$ =CHF,  $\text{CH}_2$ =CF<sub>2</sub>, CHF=CF<sub>2</sub>, and ClCF=  $CF<sub>2</sub>$  according to eq 2–5. t room temperature in a Pyrex glass vessel<br>  $\begin{aligned}\n&= \text{CHF, CH}_{2} = \text{CF}_{2}, \text{ CHF} = \text{CF}_{2}, \text{ and CICF} \\
\text{triding to eq } 2-5.\n\end{aligned}$   $\begin{aligned}\n\text{SF}_6\text{Br} + \text{CH}_{2} = \text{CHF} \longrightarrow \text{SF}_6\text{CH}_2\text{CHFBr} \qquad (2) \\
\text{SF}_6\text{Br} + \text{CH}_{2} = \text{CF} \longrightarrow \text{SF}_6\text{CH}_2\text{CF}$  $\begin{split} \text{C} = \text{CHF}, \text{ CH}_{2} = \text{CH}_{2}, \text{ CHF} = \text{CH}_{2}, \text{ and } \text{ClCF} = \ \text{diag to eq } 2-5. \ \text{S} \text{S} \text{Br} + \text{CH}_{2} = \text{CHF} \longrightarrow \text{S} \text{F}_{6} \text{CH}_{2} \text{CHFBr} \qquad \text{(2)} \ \text{S} \text{F}_{6} \text{Br} + \text{CH}_{2} = \text{CF}_{2} \longrightarrow \text{S} \text{F}_{6} \text{CH}_{2} \text{CF}_{2} \text{Br} \qquad \text{(3)} \ \text{S} \$ 

$$
SFiBr + CH2=CHF \longrightarrow SFiCH2CHFBr
$$
 (2)

$$
SF_{6}Br + CH_{7} = CHF \longrightarrow SF_{6}CH_{2}CHFBr
$$
 (2)  
\n
$$
SF_{6}Br + CH_{7} = CF_{2} \longrightarrow SF_{6}CH_{2}CF_{2}Br
$$
 (3)  
\n
$$
SF_{6}Br + CHF = CF_{2} \longrightarrow SF_{6}CHFCF_{2}Br
$$
 (4)  
\n
$$
SF_{6}Br + CF_{6}CF_{6} \longrightarrow SF_{6}CF_{6}CHF
$$
 (5)

$$
SFsBr + CHF=CF2 \longrightarrow SFsCHFCF2Br
$$
 (4)

$$
SF_{6}Br + CH_{2} = CF_{2} \longrightarrow SF_{6}CH_{2}CF_{2}Br
$$
\n
$$
SF_{6}Br + CH_{2} = CF_{2} \longrightarrow SF_{6}CH_{2}CF_{2}Br
$$
\n
$$
SF_{6}Br + CHF = CF_{2} \longrightarrow SF_{6}CF_{2}F_{3}ClBr
$$
\n
$$
SF_{6}Br + CF = CF_{2} \longrightarrow SF_{6}C_{2}F_{3}ClBr
$$
\n
$$
F_{6} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{7} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{8} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{9} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{1} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{2} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{3} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{4} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{5} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{6} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{7} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{8} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{9} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{1} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{2} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{3} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{4} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{5} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{6} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{7} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{8} \rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
F_{9} \rightarrow
$$
\n

These reactions proceeded smoothly at room temperature and were essentially complete after **12** hr. However, reaction **2** showed signs of decomposition (darkening). The products when formed usually are clear, water-white, readily distillable liquids. In Table **I** are listed the boiling points along with the elemental analysis, molecular weight, and yield results for these new compounds. The infrared (ir) spectra were recorded for these compounds and a summary of the peaks together with their respective intensities is given in Table **11.** 

## **TABLE I1 INFRARED SPECTRA DATA^**



**w, weak; m, medium;** s, **strong; vs, very strong.** 

Cross and coworkers<sup>7</sup> reported that for compounds in which the  $SF<sub>5</sub>$  group is bound to an aliphatic hydrocarbon a very intense broad band centered on or about **870** cm-l is found. They assigned this to the S-F stretching modes. We observed the same behavior with our compounds. For SF<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CHFBr the broad band is centered near **870** em-'. These bands may also be attributed to the S-F stretching modes.

Since isomers could be formed by  $SF<sub>6</sub>Br$  adding nonselectively to the fluoro olefins, the vapor phase chromatography (vpc) of these compounds was studied.



<sup>*a*</sup> The column temperature was  $59 \pm 1^\circ$ . Helium flow rate **was 2.5 ml/sec.** 

The results of this work are given in Table **111.** Only one major component was found for these compounds.

The structures of the addition products were determined from their magnetic resonance spectra. The proton resonances were resolved into the various spinspin components in order to identify the nearest neighbors. Coupling constants corresponding to the various interactions are listed in Table IV as are the chemical shift values.

The  $SF<sub>5</sub>$  group, as found by Muller, Lantebur, and Svatos,<sup>8</sup> contains four magnetically equivalent and one nonequivalent fluorine atoms  $(A_4M$  system). The equatorial,  $A_4$ , resonance is split into a doublet while the apex, M, resonance is grossly a pentet with "fine structure."

Where there is a magnetic difference between the equatorial and apex fluorines, it is reasonable to expect further splitting of the equatorial fluorine resonance by proton nuclei in adjacent alkyl groups. These splittings in turn can be used to identify the alkyl adjacent to the  $SF<sub>5</sub>$  group. With this basic assumption we have analyzed the proton and some of the fluorine resonance spectra of our compounds and found the spectra to be in good agreement with the proposed structures in Table IV.

In addition to the expected A<sub>4</sub>M patterns, we have found additional splittings for  $SF<sub>s</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CHFBr$  and  $SF<sub>6</sub>CHFCF<sub>2</sub>Br.$  In both cases an asymmetric carbon atom exists within the molecule to exert a nonequivalence on adjacent normally equivalent nuclei.<sup>9</sup> In the SF<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CHFBr spectrum, described as an ABKX spectrum for the non- $SF_5$  resonance, where the protons are designated as  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $K$  and the fluorine  $X$ , one finds a nonequivalence of two methylene protons with respect to the terminal proton, but not with respect to the adjacent  $SF<sub>5</sub>$  group.

The proton chemical-shift values reflect the variation in the molecule's electronegativity as exhibited by other halopropanes.10 The methylene chemical shifts are in the range expected for the internal as opposed to the terminal positions.

The nmr resonance spectrum of the compound  $SF_5C_2F_3ClBr$  has not been resolved. The  $SF_5$  group again exhibits an  $A_4M$  pattern, and the  $-CF_2$ -group can be differentiated from the =CF- group but nothing further can be said at present.

The nmr analysis shows that the  $SF<sub>5</sub>$  group has attached itself to the carbon of the olefin carrying the most hydrogens. Further varification of this result has been accomplished by the dehydrobromination of  $SF_5CFTCF_2Br$  to  $SF_5CF=CF_2$  in  $83\%$  yield as shown in eq 6."

$$
SFsCFHCF2Br + KOH \xrightarrow{\text{petroleum enter}} SFsCF = CF2 (6)
$$

**petroleum ether** 

 $SF_{5}Br$  should be a useful reagent for introducing  $SF_{5}$ groups into carbon compounds. It adds more readily and directly than either  $SF_5Cl$  or  $S_2F_{10}$ , and, like  $SF_5Cl$ ,

*(8)* **N. Muller, P. C.** Lantehur, **and** *G.* **F. Svatos,** *J. Amer. Chcm. Soc.,* **79,**  1044 **(1957).** 

(9) **P. M. Nair and J D. Roberta,** *ibid.,* **79,** 4564 (1957). (10) **H.** F. **White,** *Anal. Chem.,* **86,** 1291 (1964).

**<sup>(7)</sup> L.** H. **Cross,** *G.* **Cushing, and H. L. Roberts,** *Spactrochim. Acta,* **17,**  344 (1961).

<sup>(11)</sup> **This dehydrohalogenation procedure was used by J. R. Case, N. H. Ray, and H. C. Roberta,** *J. Cham. Soc., 2070* (1961), **in determining the orientx**tion of the addition reaction between SF<sub>6</sub>Cl and CF<sub>2</sub>=CFH.



TABLE IV

"Structure unknown.  $=$  11 cps. tailed analysis of this compound is incomplete;  $J_{2,3}^{\text{H, B}} + J_{2,3}^{\text{H, B}}$ 

**762 NOTES** *The Journal* of *Organic Chemistry* 

the  $SF<sub>5</sub>$  group bonds to carbon of the fluoro olefins carrying the most hydrogens.

## Experimental Section

 $SF<sub>5</sub>Br$  was prepared by the method of Cohen and MacDiarmid<sup>12</sup> in a 50-cc monel reactor equipped with an autoclave space-saver valve. No attempts were made to purify the  $SF<sub>5</sub>Br$  (possible contaminants are  $SF_6$ ,  $SF_4$ ,  $S_2F_{10}$ , and  $Br_2$ ) other than transferring it from the monel reactor at  $-60$  to  $-70^{\circ}$ . All transfers of  $SF<sub>6</sub>Br$  and its adducts were performed with a Pyrex glass vacuum system equipped with Eck & Krebs stopcocks. In handling the adducts, Apiezon M and not Kel-F grease was used. Identification of the SF<sub>5</sub>Br was made *via* its known ir spectrum.<sup>6</sup>

The fluoro olefins used in this study were purchased from the Peninsular Chemresearch Co. The ir spectrum of each one was taken and found to agree with their published spectrum.

The ir spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 137 Infracord spectrophotometer. The ir cell was made of monel metal and equipped with a Whitey-Brass valve. Path length of the cell was

8.25 cm.<br>Gas chromatographic separations were carried out with a Gas chromatographic separations were carried out with a preparative-scale 10-ft column containing  $20\%$  Carbowax absorbed on "Chromosorb **W."** An Aerograph Autoprep (Model A-700) was used.

The proton magnetic resonance (pmr) spectra were obtained with a Varian Model A-60 analytical nmr spectrometer. The fluorine spectra were obtained with a Varian Model HA-100 Analytical nmr spectrometer operating at 94.07 Mcps.

The vapor densities were determined using a Pyrex glass bulb having a calibrated volume of 200.7 cc.

Elemental analyses of these compounds were determined by the Huffman Laboratories.<sup>13</sup>

**Reaction of**  $S\mathbf{F}_5$ **Br with the Fluoro Olefins.** $-S\mathbf{F}_5$ **Br was** transferred into a predried and weighed 1-1. Pyrex glass vessel equipped with an Eck & Krebs stopcock and lubricated with Kel-F grease. A weighed amount of olefin was then added and the temperature was slowly raised from - **195"** to room temperature. After the mixture was allowed to stand overnight, a liquid collected in the bottom of the reaction flask. The products were distilled in an all glass distillation set-up. This procedure was used in all cases and only modified in the reaction of  $SF<sub>5</sub>Br$  with  $CH<sub>2</sub>=CHF$  where some side reactions occurred.

In the particular case of  $\text{CH}_2$ =CHF, the olefin was slowly admitted into the 1-l. reaction vessel (the vessel contained  $SF_5Br$ at room temperature) over a period of approximately 2 hr and then the mixture was allowed to stand overnight. With this procedure, no side reactions were noticed.

Dehydrobromination **of 2-Bromo-l,2,2-trifluoroethylsulfur**  Pentafluoride.--A 50-ml round-bottom flask was fitted with a reflux condenser, the top of which was connected to a trap cooled to  $-80^\circ$ . Potassium hydroxide  $(1.0 \text{ g})$  was added to 20 ml of petroleum ether (bp  $90-110^{\circ}$ ). This mixture was heated until a gentle reflux was obtained and then cold SF<sub>6</sub>CFHCF<sub>2</sub>Br was added. After 1 hr, 1.5 g of potassium hydroxide was added and the mixture was heated for an additional **0.5** hr. A colorless liquid collected in the  $-80^{\circ}$  trap and was shown by ir and molecular weight analysis to be  $SF_5CF=CF_2$  (its ir spectrum agreed with the published spectrum, and the molecular weight found was 207.0, theoretical was  $208.2$ ). The yield was  $83\%$ .

Registry No.-SF5Br, **15607-89-3** ; SF5CH2CHFBr, **18801-66-6; SF<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>Br,** CHFCF2Br, **18801-68-8.** 

Acknowledgments.-We wish to thank Dr. Felix Aubke of the University of British Columbia for obtaining the fluorine nmr spectra and Dr. **A.** Levinson for obtaining the proton spectra. We are indebted to the Research Corporation for funds supporting this work and to Dr. Dale of the Monsanto CO. for his gift of  $S_2F_{10}$ .

**<sup>(12)</sup> E. Cohen and A.** *G.* **MacDiarmid,** *Inorg. Chem.,* **4,** *1782 (1965).* 

**<sup>(13)</sup> Huffman Laboratories, Ino., Wheatridge,** Colo.